#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 303 603 CE 051 821

TITLE Self-Monitoring Process for Job Training Partnership

Act (JTPA) Programs.

INSTITUTION North Carolina State Dept. of Public Instruction,

Raleigh. Div. of Support Programs.

PUB DATE Aug 88 NOTE 31p.

PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom Use (055)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Career Education; \*Compliance (Legal); \*Employment

Programs; \*Evaluation Methods; Federal Programs; Federal Regulation; \*Job Training; Secondary Education; \*Self Evaluation (Groups); Youth

Programs

IDENTIFIERS \*Job Training Partnership Act 1982

#### ABSTRACT

This document provides a self-monitoring process to simplify and streamline program monitoring of Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) programs. It is designed to increase local responsibility for program compliance by encouraging school districts and schools to perform a program compliance self-review and to provide technical and management assistance to school districts in preventing and resolving program compliance problems. A summary of the self-monitoring process lists steps for conducting the review. A section on JTPA compliance monitoring addresses the purposes of self-monitoring and outlines steps for conducting a self-monitoring review. They are (1) consult with the appropriate district administrator; (2) notify appropriate school and district staff; (3) submit requested information; (4) decide on the self-monitoring approach; (5) select the self-monitoring team; (6) develop a schedule for self-monitoring; (7) conduct the self-monitoring review; (8) report findings to school district staff; and (9) submit self-monitoring information to the state department of public instruction. A self-monitoring form and a monitoring report form are provided. (YLB)

\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made 

from the original document.

\*



#### CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION
  - A. JTPA Program Self-Monitoring Process
  - B. Summary of the Self-Monitoring Process
- II. JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT (JTPA) COMPLIANCE MONITORING
  - A. Purpose of Self-Monitoring
  - B. Selecting School Districts for Monitoring
  - C. Conducting a Self-Monitoring Review
- III. MONITORING FORMS
  - A. Self-Monitoring
  - B. Monitoring Report



#### INTRODUCTION

The Division of Support Programs has developed a self-monitoring process to simplify and streamline program monitoring of Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) programs.

The goals of self-monitoring are:

- . To increase local responsibility for program compliance by encouraging school districts and schools to perform a program compliance self-review prior to SDPI staff validation of that review.
- . To provide technical and management assistance to school districts in preventing and resolving program compliance problems.

The self-monitoring process will follow a format similar to the three-year cycle for program evaluation purposes.

During the 1988-89 school year, the self-monitoring process will be piloted in selected school districts in each of the eight educational regions.

#### Summary of the Self-Monitoring Process

The following steps are recommended for conducting self-monitoring reviews:

- 1. Consult with appropriate district administrators.
- 2. Notify appropriate school and district staff.
- 3. Decide on the self-monitoring approach.
- 4. Select the self-monitoring team.
- 5. Develop a schedule for self-monitoring review.
- 6. Conduct the self-monitoring review.
- Report findings to school district staff.
- 8. Submit self-monitoring report to the SDPI.



1

#### JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT (JTPA) COMPLIANCE MONITORING

#### A. Purposes of Self-Monitoring

The major purposes of self-monitoring are that the school districts:

- . Take responsibility for reviewing JTPA programs for compliance,
- . Take corrective action when problems are identified, and
- . Identify areas in which SDPI assistance is needed.

School districts which will be scheduled by the SDPI for a state monitor are strongly encouraged to conduct self-monitoring prior to the scheduled state validation monitor. All school districts, including those which are not scheduled for a state monitor, are encouraged to use this manual to conduct self-monitoring at their convenience.

#### B. <u>Selecting School Districts for State Monitoring</u>

All school districts will be placed on a three-year cycle for state monitoring. The SDPI will notify school districts identified for monitoring in 1987-88.

#### C. Conducting a Self-Monitoring Review

The steps outlined below should be followed:

STEP 1 Consult with appropriate district administrator. The district superintendent or designee consults with the JTPA coordinator.

The program review is more likely to be successful when it is coordinated by the person in the district with administrative responsibility for JTPA.

- STEP 2 Notify appropriate school and district staff. The JTPA coordinator notifies appropriate school and district persons of the monitoring review. Persons to participate may include those responsible for the following:
  - District director of instruction,
  - . District director of counseling,
  - School principals,
  - County superintendents or his/her designee, if appropriate, and/or
  - Other JTPA coordinators.



5

STEP 3 Submit requested information. The JTPA coordinator should be responsible for completing school program information sheets.

The JTPA coordinator will select a sample of schools to be reviewed based on the following criteria:

- Different program components and services among schools selected and
- . Persistent or unique problems in a school.

The JTPA coordinator will then notify the school district of the sites selected.

STEP 4 Decide on the self-monitoring approach. There are many ways to conduct self-monitoring. The models which follow were used by some school districts during past years and may be adapted to the needs and skills of your staff.

Administrative approach. For this approach, the JTPA coordinator and district administrators will monitor the program using the self-monitoring instrument and prepare a report of findings.

Peer observation approach. For this approach, a team trained by the JTPA coordinator will monitor the program for compliance at the selected sites. Team members come from the same district and/or from a different district and usually consist of staff members of equal rank who have similar program responsibility.

Eclectic approach. A combination of the above approaches may be tailored to local needs. JTPA coordinator may find it appropriate to contact coordinators in a nearby school district who have conducted exemplary self monitoring reviews.

Regardless of which self-monitoring approach is chosen, the outcome should be the identification of non-compliance issues and the development of a plan for compliance.

STEP 5 Select the self-monitoring team. The school district identifies staff who will participate in the self-monitoring review. As all program components operating within the school district should be monitored, it is important that persons knowledgeable about each of the program components be included on the team.

The team should, at a minimum, include:

- JTPA coordinator,
- Principals of schools to be reviewed, and



Teacher/coordinator.

- STEP 6 Developing a schedule for self-monitoring. The school district develops a schedule for the self-monitoring review. The following guidelines are suggested for scheduling self-monitoring reviews:
  - Schedule the review for each site selected for validation monitoring.
    - . Inform all educational personnel about the purpose of the monitoring review.
    - Arrange interviews with the principal and other school leaders.
    - Allocate time to observe all program components and services and interview appropriate staff.
    - . Allocate time to observe a sample of students receiving, or who received, JTPA services, and interview teachers providing, or who provided, services to the sample students.
    - Arrange a group interview of teachers, specialist staff, and other school personnel involved with the program. (Optional)
    - . Allocate time to review pertinent records.
    - . Arrange an interview with parents and other community members, if appropriate.
  - b. Schedule a time to report self-monitoring findings to the school district staff.
- STEP 7 Conduct the self-monitoring review. Use the JTPA self-monitoring instrument. Apply the procedure tests in the JTPA self-monitoring instrument.

The instrument lists the references, review levels, procedures and indicators to be used in collecting information to determine compliance. Sections a-b below suggest a sequence of activities for conducting self-monitoring. Techniques that can be used to conduct interviews, observe classrooms, and review records appear as Sections c-e.

a. Hold an entrance meeting.

#### PARTICIPANTS:

JTPA coordinator.



- Self-monitoring team members,
- . Director of counseling,
- . Director of instruction, and
- . Principal of each school/site to be reviewed.

#### ACTIVITY:

- . Discuss purposes and goals of the monitor.
- Define monitors' roles and responsibilities.
- Finalize specific times and locations for the monitoring review.
- b. Monitor each selected school/site.

#### PARTICIPANTS:

- . Self-monitoring team members,
- . Principal,
- . Teachers,
- . Other site personnel, and
- . Students.

#### ACTIVITY: The self-monitoring team:

- . Interviews the principal and other school leaders.
- Observes program components and services and interviews appropriate staff.
- . Observes a sample of students receiving, or who received, JTPA services and interviews all teachers providing, or who provided, services to the sample students.
- Conducts a group interview of teachers, including specialist staff involved with the programs implemented at the site.
- Conducts an interview with intake officer(s) involved with the programs implemented at the site.
- Reviews pertinent records.



Interviews parents, as appropriate.

#### TECHNIQUES FOR COLLECTING INFORMATION

c. Suggestions for conducting interviews.

The specific interview questions are implied in the instrument. When the word "interview" appears in the "Procedures" column of the instrument, the corresponding statement in the "Indicator(s)" column will suggest the questions and content of the interview.

Interviews may be conducted individually or in small groups on a formal or informal basis.

The purpose of interviews should be to:

- . Verify information obtained from other sources.
- . Collect information not available through other sources.
- . Resolve discrepancies among information sources.
- d. Suggestions for observing classrooms.

The monitors should visit the classrooms and areas where program services are provided to students in order to gather basic information for the program monitor. Recommendations for conducting observations are:

- . Structure classroom visits to make the most of time available.
- Identify the students participating or who participated in the program.
- . Observe how individual assistance is provided.
- . Observe teachers, intake officers, and other school personnel (i.e., support staff, etc.) as they work with student.
- . Listen to the questions asked by students and the responses made by school staff.
- . Observe what the students are doing.
- e. Suggestions for reviewing records and documents.

Certain information gathered through classroom observations and interviews should be verified and classified through



the review of records. When the word "review" appears in the "Procedure(s)" co<sup>1</sup>umn of the instrument, the corresponding statement in the "Indicator(s)" column wil! identify what should be reviewed. The following are examples of documents which the self-monitoring team may need to review:

- . Project application,
- . Personalized education/employment plans,
- . Minutes of the collaborative committee,
- Contacts and cooperative agreements with other agencies,
- . Counseling logs, daily logs, etc.,
- . Budgets,
- Outreach communications to parents and the community,
- . Issuances,
- . Tracking systems,
- . JTPA Federal Register,
- Dictionary of Occupational Titles,
- . Property inventory list,
- . JTPA forms,
- . Procedure and policy handbooks,
- Program evaluation reports, including copies of previous self-monitoring and state monitoring findings, and
- Position descriptions.
- STEP 8 Report findings to school district staff. The school district holds a meeting to present the final report to all parties who participated in the self-nonitoring review. The following procedures are recommended:
  - . Introduce the self-monitoring team.
  - Explain the purposes of the self-monitoring review.



- Describe the self-monitoring process and activities.
- . Report the findings.
- Answer questions from the audience.
- . Describe how the self-monitoring findings are to be validated by the SDPI.
- STEP 9 Submit self-monitoring information to the SDPI. Following the program monitor, the school district submits the completed Monitoring Report to the State JTPA reffice.

		<u>Rating S</u>	cale		
CODE		2 - Meet	2 - Meets Program Standards		
		A 41.1	Not Meet Program Standards Applicable		
SIGNATURE					
DATE		<u> </u>			
(ITEMS 1-10 APPLY	TO ALL JTPA PROJECTS	)	<u>Rating</u>		
1. Program	maintains an adminis	trative file.	1		
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	Indicators		
Sec. 165(c)(2)	District, School	. Review adminis-trative file documents	. Organizational chart . Personnel policies handbook . Project application . Project amendments . Budget information summary (FD-5) . Program information summary (FD-5) . JTPA Federal Register . Applications of ineligible applicants . Transmittal notices (PD-9) . Procedure and master list for eligibility and verification . Tracking system for participation limi- tations . Property inventory list . Medical/accident insurance policy covering participants . Issuances . General correspondence (DPE, DET, PIC) . Dictionary of Occupational Titles . Cooperative Agreements (DPE/LEA, PIC/LEA, LEA/SDA, LEA/Community Agencies) . Employer contacts/		



Comments:

ance

LEA _						— Prog	gram Stand		andaude
CODE					0 - Not A	ppli	Meet Progr cable	diii St	anuarus
									Rating
	2.	Participa	nts meet program e	ligibility	requirement	s.			2
Refe	rence	<u>(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	Procedure	<u>es</u>	Ind	icators		
Sec. Sec.	203(a 629.1	a)(1)(2) l(b)(2)	School School	dom sampartic PD-1s and Review lity v	10% ran- mple of ipants' and PD-2s eligibi- erification ure and	m 4: a; . D	articipant ent (PD-2) 5 days of pplication ocumentati ligibility	with progr (PD- on of	nin ram -1)
Comme	ents:								
									Dating
				*** **	4.19.11	C = 3 =	_ 1.9		Rating
	3.		nts are in complia ct registration re		e Military	2616	ctive		3
Refe	rence	<u>(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	Procedur	<u>es</u>	Ind	icators		
Sec.	504		School	ledgem . Review dates	n Acknow- ent Letter	t L D s	opy of Region Acknowetter ate of parignatures ay grace p	ledge ticip withi	ement pant in 90



					Rating Scale		
					2 - Meets Prog 1 - Does Not N 0 - Not Applic	leet Program S	
							Pating
	4.		rievance procedure intained.	has	been established		<u>Rating</u> 4
Refe	rence	<u>(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	<u>Pr</u>	ocedures	Indicators	
	167 144(	a)(b)(c)	School .	•	Review project application assur- ances Review JTPA Partici- pant Rights Form	. Project as are checked. JTPA Parting Rights For completed tained for participar	ed cipànt ms are and main- each
Comm	ents:						
							Rating
	5.	are expen	ive percent minimunded for activities aged individuals.				5
Refe	rence	<u>e(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	Pr	rocedures	<u>Indicators</u>	
		c)(3) a)(2)	School, District	•	Review eligibility verification forms	. Non-econor disadvanta participar exceed started	nged nts do not nte



		Rating Scale			
		1 - Does Not M	<ul><li>2 - Meets Program Standards</li><li>1 - Does Not Meet Program Standards</li><li>0 - Not Applicable</li></ul>		
			<u>Rating</u>		
	oants are provided wi cal insurance.	th on-site accident	6		
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u> Indicators</u>		
Sec. 629.22	School	<ul> <li>Review documenta- tion of insurance coverage</li> </ul>	. Evidence of insurance coverage		
Comments:					
		the Calle project	<u>Rating</u>		
7. Program applica		ective of the project	7		
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>		
Sec. 165(a)(1)	Schoo1	. Review 10% random sample of participants' Education/ Employment Development Plans (EDPs) and counseling logs	. Documentation of required services delivery		
COMMENTS:					



		Rating Scale				
LEA	<u></u>	2 - Meets Program Standards				
CODE		1 - Does Not Meet Program Stand 0 - Not Applicable				
			Rating			
8. Progr stand	am meets required proje ards.	ct performance	8			
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>			
Sec. 106(b)(2)	Schoo1	Review program description of the project application Review program sepa- rations (PD-3s) and terminations (PD-4s) Review participant EDPs and counseling logs	<ul> <li>PD-3s and PD-4s are reflective of project performance standards</li> <li>Positive correlation between partipant EDPs and counseling logs with program objectives</li> </ul>			
Comments:						
			Doting			
			<u>Rating</u>			
were	cam conducts follow-up in not simultaneously termand 90 day basis.	for all participants, who rinated, on at least a 30,	9			
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>			
RSDA 84-20	School	<ul> <li>Review follow-up activities log</li> </ul>	. Documentation of participant's post- separation status			

ERIC

Full floxt Provided by ERIC

		Rating Scale				
LEA		2 - Meets Pro	2 - Meets Program Standards			
CODE		1 - Does Not 0 - Not Appli	Meet Program Standards cable			
			<u>Rating</u>			
	and procedures are coordination with ot		10			
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>			
Sec. 121(b)(1)	School, District	<ul> <li>Review cooperative agreements</li> <li>Review Collaborative Committee minutes</li> </ul>	. Documentation of cooperative agreements with DPE, PIC, SDA, and community agencies. Documentation of periodic Collaborative Committee meetings			



			Rating Scale			
LEA			2 - Meets Progr	2 - Meets Program Standards		
CODE			1 - Does Not Meet Program Standard O - Not Applicable			
PRE-EMPLO	YMENT SKIL	LS TRAINING H-18		Rating		
11.	establish		uth who do not meet ent levels and who plan l-time upon leaving schoo	11		
Reference	<u>(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>		
Sec. 205(	c)(1)	School	. Review barriers checked on PD-1	<ul> <li>Program enrollment of academically low achievers</li> <li>Program enrollment does not exceed 20% for non-economi- cally disadvantaged students</li> </ul>		
Comments:						
				Rating		
12.	Participa and activ		200 hours of instruction	12		
Reference	<u>(s)</u>	Review Level(s)	Procedures	Indicators		
Sec. 205(	c)(2)	School School	<ul> <li>Review tracking systems for partici- pation limitations</li> </ul>	. Participation is within program limitations		



		Rating Scale	
		T - noes wor w	leet Program Standards
CODE	<u> </u>	O - Not Applic	cable
WORK EXPERIENCE (G	eneral Provision)		<u>Rating</u>
- is limite	t in work experience d to economically di 16-21 years old.		13
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	Indicators
DPI Requirement	School	. Review eligibility verification records	<ul> <li>All participants are economically disadvantaged</li> <li>All participants are age 16-21 years old</li> </ul>
Comments:		•	
1.A. Dagumanta	unlated to contract		Rating
	related to work expeted and maintained.	erience programs	14
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>
Sec. 165(c)(2)  Comments:	School	. Review 10% random sample of participant files	<ul> <li>Training agreement and plans</li> <li>Work permit, if applicable</li> <li>Timesheet</li> <li>Tax Forms</li> <li>Student/Employee</li> <li>Work Evaluations</li> </ul>

ERIC

		<u>Rating Scale</u>	
LEA	•		ogram Standards
CODE		0 - Not Appl:	Meet Program Standards icable
WORK EXPERIENCE (G	eneral Provision)		Rating
		to the minimum wage, iling entry-level wages.	15
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>
Sec. 108(b)(2)(3) Sec. 142(a)(2)	School	<ul> <li>Review documenta- tion of area wage rates</li> <li>Review participant timesheets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Verification of comparable wages via payroll records, recruitment records, job orders, etc.</li> <li>Documentation of wages meets requirements</li> </ul>



			Rating Sc	<u>a re</u>	
LEA	<u> </u>			Program Standards	
CODE			1 - Does Not Meet Program Standards O - Not Applicable		
SCHOOL 1	O WORK TRAN	SITION H-19		Rating	
16.	seniors p	tion is limited to lanning to enter th upon graduation.		16	
Referenc	e(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205 Sec. 205	i(e)(1)(A) i(e)(1)(B)	School	. Review PD-1s	. Participants are high school seniors	
Comments	; <b>:</b>				



		Rating Scale			
LEA			2 - Meets Program Standards		
CODE			<ul><li>1 - Does Not Meet Program Standards</li><li>0 - Not Applicable</li></ul>		
DECHI AD D 00			Pating		
REGULAR D-00			<u>Rating</u>		
are cha	ndred percent (100%) o arged to the participa stration categories.		17		
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	Indicators		
Sec. 108(b)(2) (A)(ii)	District, School	. Review Monthly Invoice (FD-1) forms	<pre>. Project expendi- tures for participant support and administration only</pre>		



•		Rating Scale	
LEA		2 - Meets Prog	ram Standards Heet Program Standards
CODE			
ENTRY EMPLOYMENT WO	ORK EXPERIENCE H-20/	TRYOUT EMPLOYMENT H-21	Rating
	tion is limited to the second second to the second second to the second		. 18
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	Indicators
Sec. 205 (d)(1)(A)	School .	<ul> <li>Review documenta- tion of H-18 participation or equivalent course or program</li> <li>Review H-18 tracking system</li> </ul>	. Verification of completed Pre-Employment Skills Training (H-18) or the equivalent Participant has completed a minimum of 50 hours Pre-Employment Skills Training
Comments:			
			<u>Rating</u>
part-time	nts have not recent or summer job for imployment.		19
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	Indicators
Sec. 205(d)(1)(B) SDA 84-11, Change 1		. Review PD-1	<ul> <li>Participant was employed for less than 250 hours within the past 14 weeks</li> </ul>

ERIC

		Ratiny Scale		
CODE		1 - Does Not M	<ul> <li>2 - Meets Program Standards</li> <li>1 - Does Not Meet Program Standards</li> <li>0 - Not Applicable</li> </ul>	
FNTRY FMP! OYMENT W	IORK EXPERIENCE H-20/	TRYOUT EMPLOYMENT H-21	, <u>Rating</u>	
20. Particip minimum	ants are meeting or academic and attenda chool during the cur	have met the ance requirements	20	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205(d)(1)(C)	School	<ul> <li>Review documentation of participants academic achievement and attendance</li> </ul>	promoted tile	
Comments:				
			Rating	
summer a	pation is limited to and holidays and part the school year.	full-time during the t-time (20 hours per week)	21	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205(d)(2)	School School	. Review participa- tion tracking system	. Participation is within program limitations	



		Rating Scale		
LEA		_ 2 - Meets Program Standards		
CODE		0 - Not Applic	eet Program Standards able	
ENTRY EMPLOYMENT WO	RK EXPERIENCE H-20/T	RYOUT EMPLOYMENT H-21	Rating	
	tion does not exceed loyment experience.	500 hours of	22	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205(d)(2)	School	. Review partici- pation tracking system	<ul> <li>Participation is within program limitations</li> </ul>	



LEA		Rating Scale	2 - Meets Program Standards	
		2 - Meets Pro		
CODE			Meet Program Standards icable	
ENTRY EMPLOYMENT	WORK EXPERIENCE H-20			
			Rating	
	panis are placed in e e public or private		23	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	Indicators	
Sec. 205(d)(3)(A)	School	<ul> <li>Review documenta- tion of employer tax exemption status</li> </ul>	. Copy of tax exemp- tion status	
Comments:				
			<u>Rating</u>	
and tra	pants are provided w ining activities in o mployment experience	combination with	24	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	· <u>Procedures</u>	Indicators	
Sec. 205(d)(3)(A)	Schools	. Compare DCT class roster(s) with Entry Employment Work Experience tracking system	. Rosters are complementary	



		Rating Scale		
CODE		1 - Does Not	<ul><li>2 - Meets Program Standards</li><li>1 - Does Not Meet Program Standards</li><li>0 - Not Applicable</li></ul>	
TRYOUT EMPLOYMENT	H-21		Rating	
non-prof	ants are placed at p it worksites <u>only if</u> s are available.	ublic or private no private-for-profit	25	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	Procedures	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205(d)(3)(B)	School	<ul> <li>Review employer contact documenta- tion</li> </ul>		
Comments:				
			Rating	
	f any assignment to does not exceed 250		26	
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>	
Sec. 205(d)(3)(B)	School School	. Review tracking system	. Participation is within program limitations	



		Rating Scale	
LEA 2 - Meets Progra 1 - Does Not Mee CODE 0 - Not Applical		eet Program Standards	
TRYOUT EMPLOYMENT	H-21		<u>Rating</u>
	pants are placed in pot usually be hired.	positions for which they	27
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>
Sec. 205(d)(3)(B)	School	. Compare PD-1 and EDP information with participants' job requirements	experience or have
Comments:			
			<u>Rating</u>
if the	es in tryout employme previous participants ent but were not hire	s completed the tryout	28
Reference(s)	Review Level(s)	<u>Procedures</u>	<u>Indicators</u>
Sec. 205(d)(3)(B)	School	. Compare partici- pants PD-3s with Employer/Employee roster	Deletion of employers from the Employer/Employee roster who do not show employment of previous participants on PD-3s



# MONITORING REPORT DIVISION OF SUPPORT PROGRAMS JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT (JTPA) PROGRAMS

LEA Co	Superintendent	
Visited E	dinator Date	
	ng Scale: Meets Program Standards Does Not Meet Program Standards Not Applicable	2 1 0
PROGRAM A	DMINISTRATION	Rating
1.	Program maintains an administrative file.  Comments:	1
2.	Participants meet program eligibility requirements.  Comments:	 
3.	Participants are in compliance with the Military Selective Service Act registration requirement.  Comments:	
4.	Program grievance procedure has been established and is maintained.  Comments:	4
5.	Seventy-five percent minimum of program funds are expended for activities for economically disadvantaged individuals.  Comments:	5
6.	Participants are provided with on-site accident and medical insurance.  Comments:	
7.	Program activities are reflective of the project application.  Comments:	
8.	Program meets required project performance standards.  Comments:	8
9.	Program conducts follow-up for all participants, who were not simultaneously terminated, on at least a 30, 60, and 90 day be Comments:	9 asis.



10.	with other agencies.  Comments:	10.
PRE-EMPLO	DYMENT SKILLS TRAINING H-18	Rating
11.	Priority has been given to youth who do not meet established academic achievement levels and who plan to enter the labor market full-time upon leaving school.  Comments:	11
12.	Participation does not exceed 200 hours of instruction and activities.  Comments:	12
WORK EXPE	RIENCE (General Provision)	Rating
13.	Enrollment in work experiences programs is limited to economically disadvantaged students, 16-21 years old.  Comments:	13
14.	Documents related to work experience programs are completed and maintained.  Comments:	14
15.	Participant wages are equal to the minimum wage, but do not exceed the prevailing entry-level wages.  Comments:	15
SCHOOL TO	WORK TRANSITION H-19	Rating
16.	Participation is limited to high school seniors planning to enter the labor market full-time upon graduation.  Comments:	16
REGULAR D	1-00	Rating
17.	One hundred percent (100%) of project costs are charged to the participant support and administration categories.  Comments:	17
ENTRY EMP	PLOYMENT WORK EXPERIENCE H-20/TRYOUT EMPLOYMENT H-21	Rating
18.	Participation is limited to youth who have completed pre- employment skills training or the equivalent. Comments:	18



19.	Participants have not recently held a regular part-time or summer job for more than 250 hours of paid employment.  Comments:	19.
20.	Participants are meeting or have met the minimum academic and attendance requirements of the school during the current or most recent term.  Comments:	20
21.	Participation is limited to full-time during the summer and holidays and part-time (20 hours per week) during the school year.  Comments:	21
22.	Participation does not exceed 500 hours of entry employment experience. Comments:	22
ENTRY EMP	PLOYMENT WORK EXPERIENCE H-20	Rating
23.	Participants are placed in employment sites that are public or private non-profit only.  Comments:	23
24.	Participants are provided with education and training activities in combination with entry employment experience.  Comments:	24
TRYOUT EM	MPLOYMENT H-21	Rating
25.	Participants are placed at public or private non-profit worksites only if no private-for-profit worksites are available.	25
26.	Length of any assignment to a tryout employment position does not exceed 250 hours.  Comments:	26
27.	Participants are placed in positions for which they would not usually be hired.  Comments:	27
28.	Vacancies in tryout employment are not refilled if the previous participants completed the tryout employment but were not hired by the employers.  Comments:	28

